

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

---

WONDER WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

9:20-cv-1417 (BKS/TWD)

v.

JAMES O'GORMAN, JOHN COLVIN, and  
MATTHEW THOMS,

Defendants.

---

**Hon. Brenda K. Sannes, Chief United States District Judge:**

**VERDICT SHEET**

**Question 1**

**Eighth Amendment — Conditions of Confinement**

Has Plaintiff Williams proven by a preponderance of the evidence each and every element of his Eighth Amendment conditions of confinement claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Defendant Colvin?

Yes ✓ No       

If you answered YES in Question 1, proceed to Question 2. If you answered NO in Question 1, proceed to Question 4.

**Question 2**

**Eighth Amendment — Conditions of Confinement — Compensatory Damages**

What amount, if any, do you award as damages in connection with Plaintiff Williams' Eighth Amendment conditions of confinement claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Defendant Colvin? (Please Note: If you find that the plaintiff's rights were violated, but that the plaintiff has failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he suffered any actual compensable injury, then you must return an award of damages in the sum of \$1.00, evidencing that liability has been proved.)

\$ 1.00

Proceed to Question 3

**Question 3**

**Eighth Amendment — Conditions of Confinement — Punitive Damages**

Do you find that Plaintiff Williams is entitled to an award of punitive damages in connection with his Eighth Amendment conditions of confinement claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Defendant Colvin?

Yes ✓ No       

Proceed to Question 4 on the following page.

**Question 4**

**Eighth Amendment — Conditions of Confinement**

Has Plaintiff Williams proven by a preponderance of the evidence each and every element of his Eighth Amendment conditions of confinement claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Defendant Thoms?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No ✓

If you answered YES in Question 4, proceed to Question 5. If you answered NO in Question 4, proceed to Question 7.

**Question 5**

**Eighth Amendment — Conditions of Confinement — Compensatory Damages**

What amount, if any, do you award as damages in connection with Plaintiff Williams' Eighth Amendment conditions of confinement claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Defendant Thoms? (Please Note: If you find that the plaintiff's rights were violated, but that the plaintiff has failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he suffered any actual compensable injury, then you must return an award of damages in the sum of \$1.00, evidencing that liability has been proved.)

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

Proceed to Question 6.

**Question 6**

**Eighth Amendment — Conditions of Confinement — Punitive Damages**

Do you find that Plaintiff Williams is entitled to an award of punitive damages in connection with his Eighth Amendment conditions of confinement claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Defendant Thoms?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Proceed to Question 7 on the following page.

**Question 7**

**Eighth Amendment — Conditions of Confinement**

Has Plaintiff Williams proven by a preponderance of the evidence each and every element of his Eighth Amendment conditions of confinement claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Defendant O’Gorman?

Yes ✓ No       

If you answered YES in Question 7, proceed to Question 8. If you answered NO in Question 7, proceed to Question 10.

**Question 8**

**Eighth Amendment — Conditions of Confinement — Compensatory Damages**

What amount, if any, do you award as damages in connection with Plaintiff Williams’ Eighth Amendment conditions of confinement claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Defendant O’Gorman? (Please Note: If you find that the plaintiff’s rights were violated, but that the plaintiff has failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he suffered any actual compensable injury, then you must return an award of damages in the sum of \$1.00, evidencing that liability has been proved.)

\$ 1.00

Proceed to Question 9.

**Question 9**

**Eighth Amendment — Conditions of Confinement — Punitive Damages**

Do you find that Plaintiff Williams is entitled to an award of punitive damages in connection with his Eighth Amendment conditions of confinement claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Defendant O’Gorman?

Yes ✓ No       

Proceed to Question 10 on the following page.

**Question 10**

**Eighth Amendment — Lack of Penological Justification**

Has Plaintiff Williams proven by a preponderance of the evidence each and every element of his Eighth Amendment lack of penological justification claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Defendant O’Gorman?

Yes ✓ No       

If you answered YES in Question 10, proceed to Question 11. If you answered NO in Question 10, proceed to the end of the form.

**Question 11**

**Eighth Amendment — Lack of Penological Justification — Compensatory Damages**

What amount, if any, do you award as damages in connection with Plaintiff Williams’ Eighth Amendment lack of penological justification claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Defendant O’Gorman? (Please Note: If you find that the plaintiff’s rights were violated, but that the plaintiff has failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he suffered any actual compensable injury, then you must return an award of damages in the sum of \$1.00, evidencing that liability has been proved.)

\$ 1.00

Proceed to Question 16.

**Question 16**

**Eighth Amendment — Lack of Penological Justification — Punitive Damages**

Do you find that Plaintiff Williams is entitled to an award of punitive damages in connection with his Eighth Amendment lack of penological justification claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Defendant O’Gorman?

Yes ✓ No

The Foreperson should sign and date this Verdict Form and inform the Court Security Officer that a unanimous verdict has been reached.

Foreperson



\*\*NAME REDACTED

Date:

09/20/2024